





Population Health Planning and Forecasting in Acute and Chronic Disease

Hosted by:

Jacquie White

Deputy Director for LTC, Older People & End of Life Care NHS England **Dr. Eileen Pepler** The Pepler Group Claire Cordeaux Executive Director SIMUL8 Corporation Brittany Hagedorn US Healthcare Lead SIMUL8 Corporation









Hosted by:









Jacquie White

Deputy Director for LTC, Older People & End of Life Care NHS England Dr. Eileen Pepler The Pepler Group

Claire Cordeaux Executive Director SIMUL8 Corporation Brittany Hagedorn US Healthcare Lead SIMUL8 <u>Corporation</u>











Introductions Jacquie White Dr Eileen Pepler Claire Cordeaux Canada and UK Health Systems: Dr. Eileen Pepler NHS England and New Models of Care: Jacquie White Simulation/Population Health Modelling to inform long term conditions: Claire Cordeaux Reflections from Canada: Dr Eileen Pepler Discussion



How did this conversation happen?















Global challenges

Increasing demand

- Rise of long term conditions and multi-morbidity: physical and mental
- Ageing population
- Increasing system wide expectations: access, treatment, cure not care

Supply pressures

- Dependence on system
- Hospital and medic-centric care models
- Workforce recruitment & retention, ageing, diversity and culture
- Fragmentation of care in health and to social care
- Crisis curve

Solution – Transforming what we buy and how we buy it:

Person centred co-ordinated care – whole person approach to improve outcomes and value













Canadian and UK systems compared



Canada and the UK

Country	Life expectancy	Infant mortality rate	<u>Physicians</u> per 1000 people	<u>Nurses</u> per 1000 people	Per capita expenditure on health (USD)	% of government revenue spent on health	% of health costs paid by government
Australia	81.4	4.2	2.8	9.7	3.137	17.7	67.7
Canada	81.3	4.5	2.2	9.0	3.895	16.7	69.8
France	81.0	4.0	3.4	7.7	3.601	14.2	69.0
Germany	79.8	3.8	3.5	9.9	3.588	17.6	66.9
<u>Japan</u>	82.6	2.6	2.1	9.4	2.581	16.4	61.3
<u>Sweden</u>	81.0	2.5	3.6	10.8	3.323	13.6	81.7
ŪK	79.1	4.8	2.5	10.0	2.992	15.8	70.7
US	78.1	6.9	2.4	10.6	7.290	16.5	45.4





NHS England

Source: OECD

Canada and the UK



Source: OECD







Similar Challenges

- On September 16, 2004, the Canadian government announced \$41 billion over the next 10 years of new federal funding in support of the action plan on health.
- That Health Accord expired in 2014 and the federal government did not negotiate funding leading up to 2015 just measurement, accountability and best practices
- The funding is set—an increase of six percent in the first three years, and a minimum of three percent in the remaining seven years
- In 2015 new government---another shift, new thinking, new demands for non-physician centric models, rural, aboriginal, vulnerable service improvements and workforce aging......







Need to Reset Our Delivery System









Resetting—Shift to Population Health

- New Models of Care—strategic methodology
- Population Shifts—aging, chronic disease, etc.
- Workforce Implications---existing versus future
- Shifting dynamics between patients and clinicians
- Self-care management
- Impact of Technology enabled care
- Workforce arrangements demand co-operation between very different workforce groups
- Coordinator or 'navigator' roles become crucial in a complex fragmented landscape
- Thinking outside the 'box' and keeping the welfare of the patient at the forefront
- Learning from other jurisdictions--- NHS Long Term Conditions
 Program/Simulation/Funding













NHS England Approach



The NHS England programme

Definitions

- Person not patient
- Long Term Conditions not chronic disease
- Whole person not separation of physical, mental, emotional and social needs
- Co-ordinated care not integrated care







Tackling the priorities in the NHS

- Empowering patients and informal carers to be full partners in care
- Whole person focus
- Life course approach to care needs
- Strengthening Primary and Community Care
- Older people with increasingly complex needs including frailty
- New care models moving away from purely medical, hospitalcentric focus
- Strengthen key enablers IT, Workforce, Technology
- Need for a new purchaser/provider/funding model







LTC Framework: House of Care









Outcomes and benefits

- More activated patients have 8% lower costs in the base year and 21% lower costs in the following year than less activated patients
- Health coaching can yield a 63% cost saving from reduced clinical time, giving a potential annual saving of £12,438 per FTE from a training cost of £400
- Coaching and care co-ordination has shown to reduce emergency admissions by 24%
- Improved medication adherence improves outcomes and yields efficiencies, for instance in 6000 adults in the UK with Cystic Fibrosis, could save more than £100 million over 5-years
- Between 20% and 30% of hospital admissions in over 85's could be prevented by proactive case finding, frailty assessment, care planning and use of services outside of hospital







Long Term Conditions Year of Care Commissioning Programme

- Engagement and commitment across the system
 - Patients, Clinicians, Managers, Senior leaders
 - Joint vision and narrative
 - Shared benefits
- Whole Population Analysis
 - Understanding the population
 - Risk profiling and segmentation
- Patient & Service Selection
- Planning for Change
 - Simulation Modelling
 - Workforce
 - Capitated Budget
- Delivery Models
 - Service redesign
- Contracting and performance monitoring







National Population Analysis

Prevalence:

 There are 16 million with one LTC, 10 million with two LTCs, 1 million people in England with frailty, and 0.5 million approaching end of life

Quality of life:

- The larger the number of co-morbidities a patient has the lower their quality of life
- Increasing evidence of over-treatment and harm
- Social isolation/loneliness a risk factor for mortality in over 75s







National Population Analysis

Impact on the health system:

- The average person with a LTC in the UK spends less than 4 hours a year with a health professional
- Research has shown that 33% of all GP consultations are now with people with multi-morbidity
- The number of days in a hospital bed increases strongly with age: those under 40 account for 1 million emergency bed days and those over 85 account for over 7 million emergency bed days
- Three-fold increase in health costs across all care sectors due to frailty
- 1300 people die each day and 25% of all hospital beds are occupied by somebody who is dying







Multi Morbidity is Common:









The total health and social care cost is strongly related to multi morbidity:









Council kent.gov.uk

People with complex health and care needs appear to demonstrate a 'complex curve':











Long Term Conditions Year of Care Service Bundle:









Delivery Models

The service models being developed by our sites are essentially similar but differ to match local conditions.

Similarities include:

- Single point of access
- Care planning and shared care record
- Supported self management
- Care co-ordination
- Community multi-disciplinary team based around primary care,
- Wider neighbourhood support including specialist practitioners, therapists
- Recovery, Rehabilitation and Reablement "services"
- Care navigators and voluntary sector as a key enabler.

Differences include:

- Whole population or selected cohorts
- Formation of new organisations
- New delivery models within and across existing organisations













The role of simulation



Whole system impact of change Scenario Generator









Predictive Population Analytics



1.23m

Х

Stating Population The state is a sta	Start or Year Popul	ation D	sta				
Production D JAC Bithe JAC Stating Population T200.995 Gender Spät JAC Modeled Population T200.995 Stating Population T200.995 T Made 0 + D/24 1.4 21 25062 207 25.15 6.43 5.15 6.43 5.15 6.43 2024 262 25.34 565 56.35 56.27 56.44 56.37 56.43 73.79 56.44 56.37 56.26 57.79 56.27 72.8282 5564 52.77 56.281 51.46 57.7 56.282 <tr< td=""><td>Population Name</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></tr<>	Population Name		1				-
Statics Total Statics Fende 0.4 0.7 5.7 0.8 Gender Spik 12.03955 Fende 1.2 Statiss 12.42.4 4.4 4.6 1.2 Modeled Population 12.20.3955 Fende 6.436 4.6 0.4 0.74 5.113 0.650 6.436 1.4 2.1 25.652 2.07 25.633 5-15 6.43 73.107 6.24 76.647 16-19 2.14 25.355 5.23 23.303 20-24 2.62 32.2565 2.60 36.468 25-34 5.65 6.52 6.27 90.764 5-64 6.2 77.37 90.764 6.2 56-64 6.2 7.75.955 5.74 6.3.300	Population ID		12.892 1.231.527 13.424 1.220.995				_
Deartine 113.42.4 Modeled Population 12.20.995 Gender Sgit 12.20.995 Male Female 48.12 * · · / · · · 51.66 1.4 2.1 2.1 2.5.25 2.1 2.5.35 2.1 2.5.35 2.1.4 5.113 2.1.4 5.5.55 5.15 6.43 5.15 6.43 5.15 5.62 5.2 3.5.55 5.2.5 6.5.56 5.2.5 6.5.56 5.2.5 6.5.56 5.2.5 6.5.56 5.2.5 6.5.56 5.2.7 102.026 5.2.4 5.6.56 6.2.7 7.7.575 5.2.4 5.2.7 5.6.4 6.2.7 5.6.4 6.2.7 5.6.4 6.2.7 5.6.4 6.2.7 5.6.4 5.2.7 5.6.4 5.2.7 5.6.4 5.2.7<	Birtho						Populat Foreca
Deaths 13.424 ////////////////////////////////////	Starting Population	n Size				8	
Modeled Population 1:23:0395 Mode Female Gender Sgikt Mode Female Atatige Population 0:24:0 String 0 + 0:74 String 1:4 21:1 String 2:5:15 6:43 75:107 6:24 0:-24 2:62 2:266 2:80 25:345 0:-24 2:62 3:266 6:83 5:82 6:32,72 35:-44 6:81 0:856 6:82,72 90.764 4:57 90.754 55:-64 7:25 0:82,86 7:37 90.764 6:52 7:77 90.764 55:-64 6:27 7:535 5:14 6:3.000 6:514 6:3.000	Deaths						
Value X Female 0+ 0.74 9.113 0.629 6.469 1-4 2.1 25.062 2.07 25.433 5-15 6.43 73.187 6.24 75.847 16-19 2.14 26.365 2.30 223.310 20-24 2.62 32.265 2.69 35.468 25-34 5.65 65.651 5.62 62.272 35-44 6.81 63.067 6.60 04.723 45-54 7.25 08.268 7.37 90.784 65-74 8.27 75.355 5.72 82.262		on					Apply
X Mair X Femule 0+ 074 \$\$113 069 6.69 1-4 21 25.68 207 25.483 5-15 6.43 77.187 6.24 77.687 16-19 2.14 26.365 2.30 28.316 20-24 2.5265 2.88 35.668 25.34 5.65 69.561 5.62 69.212 35-44 6.81 69.367 6.89 64.725 45.54 7.25 69.266 69.727 90.764 56-56 6.62 7.575 67.356 67.27 90.764 56.64 62.772 62.862 55.64 62.77 70.76.872 65.862 55.74 45.57 56.20 51.44 63.300 65.3	Gender Split		48.12				
0+ 0.74 5.113 0.69 6.66 1-4 2.1 25.662 207 25.483 5-15 6.43 75.167 6.24 75.687 16-19 2.14 26.365 2.30 23.310 20-24 2.62 52.265 2.60 35.466 25-34 5.65 65.651 56.2 63.22 35-44 6.61 80.367 6.60 04.723 45-54 7.25 183.28 7.37 90.784 65-6 6.2 7.375 6.73 92.282 65-74 4.57 76.285 5.14 63.300	itating Population						
1 · 4 2 1 25,662 2 07 25,483 5 · 15 6,43 75,187 6,24 76,847 16 · 19 2 14 26,385 2 30 28,316 0 · 24 2 5,265 2 30 28,316 25 · 34 5 65 69,581 5 62 69,212 35 · 44 6,817 69,367 6,80 64,723 45 · 54 7,25 69,266 7,37 90,784 56 · 64 6,2 7,535 6,72 62,862 65 · 74 4,57 56281 5,14 63,300	0+			9.113		8.498	
0.5.3 0.5.4 0.5.3 0.5.4 0.5.4 16.19 2.14 0.5.35 2.3.30 23.30 20-24 2.62 32.266 2.68 35.468 25-34 5.65 65.561 5.62 69.272 35-44 6.61 60.366 66.4723 45.75 56-54 6.62 7.375 60.262 69.262 55-64 6.2 7.56256 5.14 63.300	1-4		-		-	25,493	
1.14 1.200 1.200 1.200 1.200 20-24 2522 2226 228 35.661 25-34 565 63591 562 63212 35-44 6.81 63.867 6.69 64.723 45-54 7.25 69236 7.37 90.764 55-64 6.2 75.355 6.72 62.862 65-74 4.57 56.281 5.14 63.300	5 - 15	6.43	- 1	79,187	6.24	76,847	
Example Maxwell Example Example 55:34 565 66356 562 663222 35:44 681 63656 562 683223 45:54 7.25 69226 737 90.764 55:64 6.2 75.355 67.7 62.862 65:74 4.57 56.281 51.4 63.300	16 - 19	214	- 1	26,355	2.38	29,310	
55-64 6.81 63.067 6.80 64.723 45-54 7.25 68.266 7.37 90.764 55-64 6.2 76.395 67.3 82.882 65-74 45.57 56.281 51.4 63.300	20 - 24	2.62	- 1	32,266	2.88	35,468	
54 7,25 198,226 7,37 190,764 55 64 6,2 76,395 6,73 62,882 65 74 4,57 56,281 5,14 63,300	25.34	5.65	-	69,581	5.62	69,212	
55 64 62 76,395 67.3 82,882 65 74 4 57 56,281 5.14 63,300	35-44	6.81	-	83,867	6.88	84,729	
65-74 [4.57 56.281 [5.14 63.300	45 - 54	7.25	-	89,286	7.37	90,764	
late late late late	55 - 64	6.2	-1	76,355	6.73	82,882	
The data in the second se	65 - 74	4.57	-	56,281	5.14	63,300	
75-84 [2.75] 33,867 [3.87] 47,660	75-84	2.75	-	33,867	3.87	47,660	
	Total by Gender	48.1	2 1	592.611	51.88	638.917	

HIV 0.465% = 2531

Edit Details							
Code:	B24	🗸 ок					
Title:	Unspecified human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) disease						
Prevalence %:	0.465						
Age Banding							
0+ 1-4		75 - 84 85+ 0.05 0.05					









Example: North Staffordshire and Stoke on Trent Simulation

- What does current unscheduled care flow look like?
- What will it look like in 5 years with ageing population?
- What is the impact of increasing referrals to home care direct from hospital?









Initial Model



Baseline Results – 10 run trial

- Ran the model through with the received population data
- Set routing percentages so model matches activity data.

Aea	NHS data	Scenario Generator	%
A+E	108,472	125 202 /17 026	
A&E out of area (5% S Staffs)	17,000	125,302 (17,026 out-of-area)	
			0.99864512
Total NEL Admissions	84,297	84,470	1.00205227
Elective admissions	12,674	12,710	1.00284046
Daycase	49,983	49,895	0.9982394
Discharges to Community Hospital	4560	4507	0.98837719
Discharge to social care teams (Stoke)	2183	2203	1.0091617
Discharges from Community Hospital	4347	4430	1.01909363
Intermediate Care (admission avoidance)	590	581	0.98474576







Cost and Length of Stay Assumptions

Item	£	LOS
Hospital Bed	£500 a day	AMU/SAU/CDU Inpatient
Community Hospital Bed	£263 per day	21 days
Intermediate care	£47 per hour	30 hours
A&E	£105.5	













With population increase



Demand

Increase in A&E and admissions +5% over 9 years









Home care scenario

- Average 6 week package for rehabilitation
- Other packages average 48 weeks

Scenario:

- Increase direct referrals from hospital 30% of community hospital referrals
- Average 2 additional days in hospital
- Referrals 10% to complex, 38% maintenance, 51% reablement (North Staffs only)







Home care scenario results

• £2.6m savings overall

- Plus £4m social care
- Plus 1.3m additional LOS, max bed occupancy + 10, +1% utilisation
- £7.6m savings community hospital, utilisation reduced by 25%, max bed occupancy minus 90












How it works



What drives the model?

Patients with long term conditions by acuity

- Group patients by level of acuity
- Increasing numbers of long term conditions



Results













Example Results: ED activity









Acute to Rehabilitation

Higher costN point.Medical careDecision to discharge to recovery bed"L" pointPoint of discharge "liberation"RRR facilityDischarge "liberation"Discharge -hospital		"P" point:			
Medical Decision to discharge to discharge to recovery bed Transitioning Point of discharge "liberation" RRR facility Discharge	ligher cost	"R" point:	"I" point		
recovery bed discharge "liberation" Bed in recovery Discharge				RRR facility	
		bed	discharge	recovery	Discharge
				- Home with support	







RRR audits identify the point in the acute patient pathway that patients are medically fit for discharge.

"change the tariff at the point when the patients" needs change and not when they change institution"



1 crosses secondary – community, 2. unlocks rehab resource for different models

3. Puts primary care and social care at earliest point in rehab, 4. sustainable discharge









RRR audit - results

• R-point – can be a medical, community/social or patient reason for delay



The Simulation









LTC Resources and Tools:



Simulation model

Unbundling recovery simulation model







Over to Canada...



Lessons & Applicability to Canada









Scenario Planning –'what-if' considers future uncertainties: Enables the linking of strategy to service delivery



While Long-Range Forecasts...

- Extrapolate the impact of known trends and assumptions
- Are important for one year plans
- Are unable to capture the potential impact of key events (e.g., technology breakthrough, capacity and demand changes, government regulatory changes) that could significantly change the system environment for delivery services
- Unable to capture 'true costs' for delivering health and social care services

Scenarios...

- Provide a plausible range of future outcomes and help identify the key "trigger" factors/events that can significantly alter the future
- Take a long view over time, usually 5-10-20 years
- Helps to question consensus and "past to future" linear thinking
- Provide options not a single answer

Impact of whole system change – workforce implications

• Using new tools to explore...









Using Predictive Population Analytics to get in Front of the cost curve.....



3 out of 5 Albertans 18+ are either overweight or obese Adult w/overweight + Obesity Est. 1,732,000 Over weight 35.2% Obesity 23.9%

Source: HCQA Overweight & Obesity in Adult Albertans: A Role for Primary Healthcare July 2015

Start or Year Popula	ation D	6.6						
Population Name		1				_		10000
Population ID Births Starting Population Size Deaths		AC [12.892			-		Export	
					-		Import	
		1,23	1,52	7				Provision
		[13,424			-		Forecast	
Modelled Populati	1.230.995 Male Female					Apply to future years		
Gender Split	Gender Split			t .	· ' 51	88		
Stating Population								
0+	2: M		-	9,113	% Female [0.69		8,498	
1-4	21		Ē	25,862	2.07		25,493	
5 - 15	6.43	1	Ē	79,187	6.24	- Г	76,847	
16 - 19	2.14	-	-	26,355	2.38		29,310	
20 - 24	2.62		-	32,266	2.88	- F	35,468	
25 - 34	5.65	1	-	69,581	5.62		69,212	
35 - 44	6.81	-	Г	83,867	6.98		84,729	
45 - 54	7.25	i.	-	89,286	7.37		90,764	
55 - 64	6.2	_	-	76,355	6.73	- L	82,882	
65 · 74	4.57	-	-	56,281	5.14		63,300	
75-84	2.75	-	-	33,867	3.87		47,660	
85+	0.86	-	Г	10.591	2.01		24,754	
Total by Gender	48.1	2		592.611	51.88	Г	638.917	







'What if' Scenarios

- 1. How many children aged 1-15 years with complex needs, stress, anxiety, obesity, diabetes, and mental health, may need to access primary pediatric care services in 2020, 2025 and 2030?
- 2. What impact do different care stage durations have on cost and resource use for patients with 3+ comorbidities associated with obesity across the continuum of care?
- 3. What percentage of the population with Type 2 Diabetes had access to a primary care hub and to one-on-one or group sessions led by a nurse practitioner, LPNs, dietitians, or peer coaches in person or virtually?
- 4. How may increasing population and obesity rates affect future incidence and resource demand over time and what are the workforce implications??







High-Level Overview of Scenarios









53

Type of Project: Future Scenario Planning

Non-Funded Maternity Care Services to Immigrant & Refugee Women

Business Challenge

- In 2011, the client wished to begin laying the groundwork for a strategic transformation in response to potential reforms to providing care to immigrant women who had were without 'papers' and had no status, and no care cards or waiting for deportation,
- Due to the inherent uncertainty around reform and future developments to the change in immigrant status and the 'high risk pregnancy' population that the organization served, the client required a scenario planning approach that allowed for different strategic directions given various future scenarios
- The key objective for Project 2011 was to provide a longer-term vision of the costs and possible strategic options

Project Approach

- Developed a long-term vision of partnerships between downtown hospitals for delivering immigrant and refugee care services
- Provided an assessment of new capabilities compared to future capabilities needed
- Developed a portfolio of strategic options for responding to changing federal government conditions over the next decade through stakeholder workshops
- Created a critical decision path for choosing among the strategic options

Client Benefits

- Increased strategic planning to address funding issues, loss revenue, physician collaboration
- Comprehensive understanding of immigrant and refugee needs served for future service delivery development
- Path to transformation that accounts for and adjusts to changing federal government regulations, provincial government, and local provider/funder conditions
- Provincial government committed funding for future immigrant and refugee care

Type of Project: Future Scenario Planning

Linking Food Banks to Chronic Disease

Business Challenge

- Increased awareness of people using Food Banks and the link between health behaviours and health outcomes, Moreover, from a local perspective the report highlights that health behaviours and health outcomes, regarding mental illness, addictions, obesity, diabetes, smoking and cardiovascular disease, oral care.
- A multi-organization partnership explored the link between food banks and chronic disease and could a new way of delivering services to this population group change behaviours and improve outcomes.
- Specifically if a change to access to primary care health services could show a reduction in emergency room visits, hospitalization, a decrease in obesity and improved self care management for diabetes.

Project Approach

- Activity from population projections, age-related, immigration and ethnic factors, income, and prevalence based data for chronic diseases are all factors shown to influence demand. Thus, a review was conducted of the global, national, provincial and local literature using search terms such food insecurity, food distribution, homelessness and poverty, housing affordability, income and food bank users.
- Several scenarios developed and socialized with providers and community stakeholders

Client Benefits

- A demonstration of the scenario tool (Scenario Generator) was given to the project team highlighting the economic benefits of implementing a Nurse Practitioner Led Clinic. Additionally, a power point presentation
- Identification of partnerships and possible marketing solutions to key stakeholders and potential community and corporate partners.

Demonstration Pilot Goals: Improving Individual and System Health Outcomes



Scenario 1 – Nurse Practitioner Led Clinic



Create a simulation that projects the resource cost savings related to PCS and shows the impact on ED visits

Family Health Team + Nurse Led Practitioner Clinic Pilot – 12 months









Type of Project: Future Scenario Planning

Improving Outcomes for Children & Youth Mental Health Services

Business Challenge

To increase access to children, youth and their families to mental health and addiction services across SW Ontario.

Evaluate the duplication of resources, activities and eliminate and/or reduce the fragmentation and hand-offs between providers to ensure continuity of services for families accessing mental health services.

Identify opportunities for new models of care and partnerships

Explore opportunities for leveraging resources and workforce optimization

Additionally, the system wide costs were difficult to measure given the disparate data systems, multiple organizations, vast array of providers and funding streams (e.g. health, justice, education, social services, housing)

Project Approach

- Multi-provider (30 CYMH agencies) + 2100 front line staff + 9 Children Aid Societies, + 7 inpatient psychiatric hospitals/units + 5 emergency departments
- Technology enabled collaboration (Think Tank) used to collect front line staff challenges, family experiences and prioritization of challenges
- Scenario planning explored and implemented to drive mind-set shifts to explore resetting their model of care
- Used SG to test new approaches and improvements

Client Benefits

- Increased awareness for the need to rethink partnerships, services and delivery mechanisms
- Five agencies amalgamated to deliver centralized services leveraging resources, funding and workforce
- System-wide standardized approach to assessments across government agencies (e.g. health, social services, education and justice)
- Increased use of tele-health for access to psychiatric assessments and evaluations



Restricted License: Only for use by full time students registered at a degree awarding education

④ 甲 66% 1 -







Screenshot of the Simulation Results Report

Intake Process Timings

Process	Average Timing (mins		
Screening	7		
Case Creation	3.5		
BCFPI	40		
Case Assignment	7.5		
Appropriateness of Fit	15		
Red Flags	10		
CFT Case Assigned	12.5		
F2F Appointment Coordination	7.5		
Case Close out	5		

Initial Assessment Process Timings

Process	Average Timing (mins)
Inital F2F Meeting at Agency	67.5
Assessment Meetings	67.5
Red Flag Follow Up	30

Pre-Admission Process Timings

Process	Average Timing (mins)
Pre Admission Case Conference	90
Admin Support	30
Clinical Formulation Report and Update	60
MDT Meeting	90
Other Clinical Services or Consults	67.5
Documentation	20
Admission Meeting	60
Placement Discussion	20
Parental Decision	0
Family Accepted	6
Orientation Meeting	90

Admission Process Timings

Process	Average Timing (mins)
Completion of Admission Package	90
Child in Room	37.5
Admin Documentation	165



Treatment Delivery Process Timings

Process	Average Timing (mins) 12.5		
Communication			
Document Mgmt	90		
Med Admin	15		
Child Daily Routine	10		
Facility Mgmt	90		
Medical Appts	60		
Case Reviews	18		
Case Mgmt	25		
Other Referrals	10		

Discharge, Transition, Follow-up Process Timings

Process	Average Timing (mins)	
Discharge Planning	120	
Discharge Case Conference	75	
Discharge Documentation	37.5	
Day of Discharge	30	
Follow Up	30	







>

Potential Opportunities for System Reinvestment

Process	Evidence	Possible Solutions	Potential Benefits
Referral Entry Points average 22 1300 Children Placed in Residential Services Est. 53K days of service	Multiple Eligibility Criteria Distinct Records Data Disparity Service Fragmentation Service Duplication Multiple hand-offs Significant bottlenecks/delays Multiple Access Points 20-30% non-value activities Variation in Screening Tools	Shared Records Agreed Standards Common Data Set Collaborative Practices Standardized Decision Making Standardized Care Pathways	Integrated Service Processes Reduced Waiting Times Optimized Results Shared Integration Alignan (55) apacity and Demain Appropriate Referrals Cost-Avoidance of approximately 8%
Intake Average wait time 2-4 wks Skill Variation Exists	Variation between services (e.g. community versus residential placements) Data collection of MCYS screening and assessment tools not standardized Resource duplication across the continuum Silos Professions and practices Single Point Access – 2-4 hours per Agency reviewing planned cases add 4- 6 weeks to service user waiting time 40% of resource time attributed to non- direct activities/documentation	Agreement to vision for client pathways Standardized eligibility and prioritization criteria Common metrics Standardized approach to waiting times and reporting	Increased accountability and transparency Responsiveness to families, children and youth Cross-sector approach to appropriate use of resources Reduced wait times Potential savings – 28% intake activity steps considered non-value







Learning from the collaboration











Jacquie White Twitter: @jaqwhite1

#A4PCC Email: Jacquie.white@nhs.net

www.england.nhs.uk/res

ources/resources-for-

ccgs/out-frwrk/dom-2/

Dr. Eileen Pepler Twitter: @eileenpepler Email: peplereileen@gmail.com

www.kidsjourney.ca

Claire Cordeaux Twitter: @SIMUL8Health Email: Claire.c@SIMUL8.com

www.SIMUL8healthcare. com/long_term_conditions Brittany Hagedorn Twitter: @hagedornb Email: Brittany.H@SIMUL8.com

www.SIMUL8healthcare. com





